[19th August 1955

* SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—" இந்தத் திருத்தப் பிரேரணையை நாளைக்கு வைத்துக் கொள்ளலாமென்று சொல்லிக்கொள்ள ஆசைப்படுகிறேன். முக்கியமான காரணங்களுக்காக திருத்தங்கள் கொண்டு வரப்பட்டிருக்கிறதென்பதை சபையில் கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் விளக்கவில்லை. அவர் விளக்கினாலும் எங்களுக்குப் புரிந்து கொள்ள முடியாது. திருத்தங்களின் காரணத்தை **item** வாரியாக விளக்கி ஒரு **note circulate** செய்தால் அதை நாங்கள் படித்துப் பார்த்து, நியாயமான காரணங்களுக்கான திருத்தங்களாயிருந்தால் அவைகளை ஒப்புக்கொள்ளவும், நியாய விரோதமான காரணங்களுக்காகக் கொண்டுவரப்பட்டிருக்கும் திருத்தங்களை எதிர்க்கவும் ஒரு சந்தர்ப்பம் இருக்கும். முக்கியமான ஓரிரண்டு- திருத்தங்களுக்கு மட்டும் காரணம் காட்டி கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் விளக்கிச் சொன்னார். ஆனால் அதைக்கூட அவர் சரியாக விளக்கவில்லை. எங்களுக்கும் சரியாகப் புரியவில்லை. ஆதலால் இந்தத் திருத்தப் பிரேரணையை இன்றைக்கு எடுத்துக்கொள்ள வேண்டாமென்று கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்."

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—' Even in the month of February, they were published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*. They have all been circulated to hon. Members."

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU :—" The amendments were placed on the table of the House. If only the hon. Member had cared to read all of them, he would have understood the position. The difficulty with the hon. Member is that he seems to be bamboozled by the bulk of the amendments now before the House. After all a major portion of them relates to ' forms '. We have prescribed the correct manner in which the forms have got to be filled. Therefore I submit the hon. Member could concentrate on other Bills that are yet to come. It would be worthwhile if he speaks more on other Bills."

The motion was put and carried.

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILLS—*cont.*

(4) THE MADRAS LAND REVENUE (SURCHARGE) AMENDMENT BILL, 1955.

* THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU :—" Sir, I beg to introduce the Madras Land Revenue (Surcharge) Amendment Bill [a], 1955, and move—

' *That the Bill be taken into consideration at once.*'

" I am afraid that certain hon. Members are under the impression that this Bill refers to the proposed land revenue surcharge. It is not. This Bill is with reference to the Land Revenue (Surcharge) Act that has already been passed. There is some anomaly difficulty. There is a clash between the meaning in the body of the Act and the illustration that has been given. It is only to clarify that, this Bill has been brought. I will explain it. Suppose A is liable to pay as land revenue Rs. 300. Suppose A and B are both liable to pay Rs. 300 as land revenue on a joint patta. As per the illustration both A and B are liable to pay the surcharge because A pays

---

* **Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 23rd February 1955.**

19th August 1955] [Sri M. A. Manickavelu]

Rs. 300 and A and B pay Rs. 300. That means it comes to Rs. 600. The idea is not that. Suppose the joint patta is for argument's sake half and half. Then A's share is Rs. 150 and B's share is Rs. 150. Then it comes to Rs. 300 + 150 = 450. So he will not be liable to pay the surcharge. In order to clarify the position, this amendment is brought and nothing more, Sir.''

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—'' Motion moved—

'That the Madras Land Revenue (Surcharge) Amendment Bill, 1955, be taken into consideration at once.' ''

* SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—'' Sir, the very fact that there was conflict between the original provision and the illustration was pointed out by us when the original Act was passed. At that time, the Government did not choose to accept our position. Now that they have accepted it, we have no objection.'' 12-30 p.m.

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—'' The question is—

'That the Madras Land Revenue (Surcharge) Amendment Bill, 1955, be taken into consideration.' ''

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was taken into consideration.

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—'' There are no amendments. I shall put the Clauses and the Preamble to the vote of the House.

Clauses 1 and 2 and the Preamble were put and carried.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU :—'' Sir, I move—

'*That the Madras Land Revenue (Surcharge) Amendment Bill, 1955, be passed.*' ''

DEPUTY SPEAKER :—'' The question is—

'That the Madras Land Revenue (Surcharge) Amendment Bill, 1955, be passed.' ''

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

(5) THE PUDUKKOTTAI (SETTLEMENT OF INAMS) BILL, 1955.

* THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU :—'' Sir, I beg to introduce the Pudukkottai (Settlement of Inams) Bill , 1955 and move—

'*That the Bill be taken into consideration at once.*'

'' The settlement of inams in the erstwhile Pudukkottai State was made generally on the lines similar to those in the Madras State. In this State, the Inam Rules printed as Appendix I to Chapter IV of B.S.O., Volume II, were the basis of inam settlement by the

* Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 2nd March 1955.